

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT: HON. JUDY H. KIM

Justice

X

PART 05

INDEX NO. 151169/2022

THE NEW YORK CITY MUNICIPAL LABOR COMMITTEE,
UNIFORMED SANITATIONMEN'S ASSOCIATION, LOCAL
831 IBT, POLICE BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION,
UNIFORMED FIREFIGHTERS ASSOCIATION LOCAL 94
I.A.F.F. AFL-CIO, UNIFORMED FIRE OFFICERS
ASSOCIATION, UNITED FEDERATION OF TEACHERS,
COUNCIL OF SCHOOL SUPERVISORS AND
ADMINISTRATORS, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD
OF TEAMSTERS, LOCAL 237, IBT, CORRECTION
OFFICER'S BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION,
COMMUNICATION WORKERS OF AMERICA NYC LOCAL
1180, SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION,
LOCAL 246, LIEUTENANTS BENEVOLENT
ASSOCIATION, SERGEANT'S BENEVOLENT
ASSOCIATION, DETECTIVES ENDOWMENT
ASSOCIATION, CAPTAINS ENDOWMENT ASSOCIATION,
SANITATION OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION, LOCAL 444,
UNIFORMED SANITATION CHIEFS ASSOCIATION,
INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS,
LOCAL 30, DISTRICT COUNCIL NO. 9 PAINTERS &
ALLIED TRADES, INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL 3, INTERNATIONAL
UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS, LOCAL 891,
SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL
300, BOILERMAKERS, BLACKSMITHS & METAL WORK
MECHANICS, LOCAL 5, SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION SUPERVISORS, LOCAL 621,
ORGANIZATION OF STAFF ANALYSTS, N.Y.C. DISTRICT
COUNCIL OF CARPENTERS, UBCJA, CORRECTION
CAPTAINS' ASSOCIATION, INC., UNITED PROBATION
OFFICERS ASSOCIATION, ALLIED BUILDING
INSPECTORS, LOCAL 211

INTERIM ORDER

Plaintiffs,

- v -

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, BOARD OF EDUCATION OF
THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW
YORK, THE NEW YORK CITY HOUSING AUTHORITY,

Defendants.

X

The Court declines to grant plaintiffs' request, in its proposed Order to Show Cause
(NYSCEF Doc. No. 26), for an order temporarily restraining defendants from terminating certain

employees represented by plaintiffs pending the hearing of plaintiffs' complaint. Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate a sufficient likelihood of success on the merits, a reasonable risk of irreparable harm, or that the equities balance in their favor to justify such relief (See e.g., Dua v New York City Dept. of Parks and Recreation, 84 AD3d 596, 597 [1st Dept 2011]).

This constitutes the decision and order of the Court.



JUDY H. KIM, JSC

DATE: 2/10/2022

Check One: Case Disposed Non-Final DispositionCheck if Appropriate: Other (Specify) DENIAL OF TRO – RELATED TO NYSCEF DOC NO.26)